

Call for Workshops

## **Conference of the German Anthropological Association**

Ludwig-Maximilians-Universität München, 25 – 28 July 2023

### **Contested Knowledge: Anthropological Perspectives**

Knowledge generated through research is currently contested to an extent unprecedented since the beginning of modernity - and with it, the definition of science and its position in society. On the one hand, professional expertise continues to be invoked and used as a source of legitimacy for socio-political and individual decisions. On the other hand, such expertise is rejected as biased or questioned and criticized by counter-positions that are not always empirically grounded. Right-wing populist actors capitalize on structural changes in media cultures to fuel a general skepticism towards science, for example, by equating facts with falsifications, lies, and distortions. In the face of regressive retrenchments of knowledge, how should anthropologists confront the challenge of making transparent and defend the methodological status of evidence in the sense of time-bound horizons of validity?

Mediated cultures of contestation around knowledge, however, have also yielded movements like #BlackLivesMatter, #MeToo and #CiteBlackAuthors that challenge hegemonic knowledge systems in privileged institutional spaces of the Global North and point to existing racisms. These movements have once again drawn critical attention to knowledge making as socio-culturally situated and conditioned by inequality and power imbalances. Such movements have prompted anthropology to analyze controversial forms of its knowledge generation, i.e. how knowledge is produced, stabilized and legitimized by which actors and institutions in a situation of growing global inequality. How do multiple epistemologies and ontologies interact? What are the implications of calls for the decolonization of knowledge and university institutions for anthropological knowledge practices? How does anthropology deal with the political dimension of these research questions? What models of decolonial, participatory, activist and collaborative research exist in the co-production of knowledge and its representation? What are their limits? How do we reimagine and realize solidarities? How do the ongoing contestations prompt a reflection on one's own privileges as anthropological researchers as well as the privileges of those who criticize them? How are these processes reflected in the institutions and practices of the discipline (e.g., division of labor, research alliances, campaigns such as #ich bin Hanna, and the politics of citation)?

While reflecting on its own disciplinary practices and institutions, anthropology is also uniquely positioned to analyze the current upheavals around knowledge and related mobilizations, for example around identity, gender, class and culture. An anthropological analysis of increasingly contested knowledge processes in the broader society raises questions about the extent to which academic voices endowed with special discursive power and media visibility are viable for analyzing global inequalities and asymmetries. In short, who can speak about whom and how? Whether and how can others be spoken "about" or even "for"? With regard to political contestations, questions around ways to engage politically charged theoretical concepts (identity, belonging, gender, "race," difference, inequality, culture, etc.) arise continuously. When does the self-aware use of language turn into self-censorship, and what are the implications? What does it mean when islands of discourse form and harden, across whose boundaries communication and consensus-building on the validity of bodies of knowledge becomes increasingly difficult?

We would like to discuss these questions at the DGSKA conference 2023 in Munich, especially with regard to the interactions between anthropology and societal contestations of knowledge.

We hereby cordially invite all members of the DGSKA as well as the working and regional groups to submit proposals, thematic ideas and overarching questions for the three main discussion formats of the DGSKA meeting in Munich (by 22.7.2022).

- A. Thematic workshops with a maximum of 2 time windows of 90 minutes each (4 speakers per time window; maximum 8 speakers, 2 moderators)
- B. Roundtables on controversial questions and debates – with a maximum of 1 time slot of 90 minutes each, and a maximum of 7 (international) guest speakers and 2 moderators.
- C. Labs in which experimental discussion formats are to be tested. This includes thematically innovative 'lateral thinking' as well as projects that break new ground methodologically and didactically. As for workshops, 1 or a maximum of 2 time slots of 90 minutes each will be available, offered by a maximum of 2 moderators or organizers.

All proposals should be outlined in a description of max. 2,000 characters (including spaces), together with a meaningful title and contact details of the submitter. When requesting a roundtable, please explain why the topic or discussion goal is specifically suited to this format. In the case of lab proposals, the application also needs to include a description of time-planning, as well as the overall participatory or collaborative design.

The deadline for submitting workshop, roundtable and lab proposals is 22.7.2022. Please send all proposals (as a word document) to the following email address: [Tagung2023@dgska.de](mailto:Tagung2023@dgska.de)

To ensure that all workshop sessions, labs and roundtables can be attended by a sufficient number of participants, the conference organizers reserve the right to limit the total number of workshops, labs and roundtables. In addition, the 'two-role rule' applies with regard to lectures, workshop, lab and round-table organization, and the capacity of panelist / speaker: Each conference participant can only take on tasks in a maximum of two separate categories (i.e. lecture, speaker, workshop, lab or round-table organization, discussant and as a moderator). Dual functions in the same category are excluded. When participating in a lab the 'two-role rule' does not apply.